PAPERS AND STATISTICS ON RACE AND GENETIC IQ DIFFERENCES: WHITE, BLACK, ASIAN, HISPANIC, INDIAN, JEWISH, ARAB

By: Joel v.d. Reijden | **Date:** Jan. 6, 2016 | **Updated:** Feb. 9, 2026 | CENTER FOR RESPONSIBLE IMMIGRATION


GLOBAL IQ SCORES
Version II: This version more strongly reflects the white IQ in western countries, as well as Eastern Europe after additional development. OECD PISA scores show that IQ in the West has been dramatically dropping since the first tests for reading (2000) and math (2003) due to Third World immigration, often hitting the low to mid 90s.
ISGP-studies.com '16
West E.U. in 2030:
Non-West E.U.: 13%
Muslim: 8%
S.S. Afr./Car. black: ±1%*
A) Inc. 2nd/3rd gener.
B) Big city concentrated
ISRAEL (avg. 95)
Ashkan. Jew: 103
Oriental Jew: 91
Arab: 86
Ethiopian Jew: 65
U.S. in 2030:
White: 56%
Hispanic: 22%
Black: 12%
Asian: 7%
U.K. black: 87
U.S. Jews: 108-113
U.S. Indians: 102+
U.S. whites: 102
U.S. hispanics: 92
U.S. blacks (27% white on ave.): 85
FAR EAST ASIA: A degree of test rigging and test "gaming", combined with extreme study pressures.
Blacks: Sub-Saharan and Caribbean: 67-71
74% Chinese
Hispanics, Mestizo 0-40% white
49% white
7% black
88% white
86% white
89% white
Kalahari Bushmen: 54 (with 39 the lowest individual result)
Aborigines: 62
STANFORD BINET IQ SCALE
90 - 109: Average
80 - 89: Low Average
70 - 79: Borderline impaired
55 - 69: Mildly impaired
≤65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
>105
N/A
SOURCES: Lynn (2002, 2006, 2010), Melsenberg (2010), Rushton & Jensen (2005), Rindermann (2007), Richwine (2009), SAT scores (1992-2013), PISA (2003, 2006), etc.

Click image or click here for large version. Beware newer "left"-"right" fake IQ charts.

CONTENTS

1. IQ 80% determined by genes; low IQ, high violent crime
2. Discrepancy: Nobel Prize for science: Jews (36-50%), blacks (0%)
3. **Race data oversight**

- Global racial IQ numbers: 54 (Kalahari), 102 (white), to... 111 (Singapore)?
- Maximum average IQ of whites: 104? 107?
- Far East Asians: really 108-111 IQs? Or "gaming" the tests?

- APA IQ study: blacks (85), whites (102), East Asians (106)
- East Europe's gypsies: Indian-heritage, smaller skulls, IQ of 80 vs 101
- Adopted from birth by whites: black (IQ 89), white (IQ 106), Korean (IQ 120)
- IQ scores of blacks in the West: 85-87 vs 100 for whites
- U.S. SAT scores: shows whites 10-14 points higher IQ than hispanics-blacks
- OECD PISA scores converted to IQ: U.S. black (84), white (103), Asian (103-108)
- Global IQ list - 2019
- Immigration-fueled freefall of PISA scores and IQ in the West: from mainly 100-106 to the 80s and 90s
- CITO / IQ of second generation immigrants

- The cost of immigrants: +€250,000 for Swedes, -€525,000 for Moroccans, -€600,000 for blacks

4. Race IQ manipulation
   - Beware newer, fake, pro-Iran, pro-Third World, inflated IQ charts
   - Richard Lynn's 2019 global IQ list: purposely lowering (some) Third World IQs?

5. Downloadable sources
6. Notes

While obviously I do feel bad for all the well-behaving black people, I also feel the contrast displayed here captures the core issue, as backed up by statistics and real world experience. Therefore it will not be "politically corrected-down". This harsh contrast reflects a harsh reality that needs addressing.

"Over the past 50 years, Ashkenazi Jews have consistently tested well above the norm in almost every IQ study. Some of the findings were startling. Looking at worldwide population groups, Jews appear at the top of the IQ charts, followed by [North] East Asians, Whites, Arabs, other Asians, Indians, Blacks and Australian Aborigines. Studies have set average Ashkenazi [IQ] at anywhere from 107 to 117..."

~ 2009, John Entine for the American Enterprise Institute. [1] Entine used to be a writer, editor and producer for ABC's Evening News, 20/20, and Nightline; and Tom Brokaw's Nightly News on NBC. Various studies confirm the high IQ numbers of Jews. [2]

"Results of Gypsy children: ... IQ_: 79.58. ... Results of non-Gypsy children: ... IQ_: 101.41. ... It seems that there is a difference in IQ between the Gypsy and non-Gypsy population that cannot be fully

explained by environmental factors... The original homeland of Gypsies is generally agreed to have been [a low caste in] India (Fraser, 1998). According to Lynn and Vanhanen (2002) populations from India have an overall mean IQ of 81..."

~ Spring/Summer 2004, The Mankind Quarterly, 'The IQ of Gypsies in Central Europe', pp. 291-300 (PDF).

This data may help explain why Gypsy/Roma people are notorious street beggars and pickpockets, why they don't clean up their slums as Lunik IX, why they face so much "racism" [3], and why Soros and the EU have been pouring money into "empowering" them through the European Roma Rights Center (1996-), which has been fighting cases of "police brutality" against Gypsies [4], the European Roma Institute (2015-) [5], the European Roma Institute for Arts and Culture (2017-) [6], the Roma Foundation for Europe (2023-) [7], and projects as the "Decade of Roma Inclusion". [8]

IQ 80% determined by genes; low IQ, high violent crime

Genetic IQ differences, brain plasticity, and epigenetic memory all are among my latest fascinations. This very much extends to ethnic IQ variations.

It's pretty much among the most "not done" subjects a person can bring up, but the fact remains: a worldwide print-out of average IQs per race - with studies showing that IQ for 80% is genetically determined by your parents and immediate ancestors [9] - sure explains a lot in terms of the severely heightened crime rates among black, Arab, and Latino immigrants in the West that this site has been discussing. After all, the lower the IQ, the higher the violent crime. [10]



Ethnic IQ levels largely walk in line with ethnic crime rates. The lower the IQ, the higher the crime rate.
Another problem in western Europe due to Third World immigration is that many schools have lowered the standards or increased the number of school hours (not to speak of the overall decline in quality, atmosphere and safety), so that "everyone" can keep up. After all, "discrimination" is not allowed.

Based on all the data to be found on this page, I personally suspect an average difference of about 12 to 17 IQ points between white North-West European whites and pure Sub-Saharan blacks educated from birth by white North-West European middle class families that cannot be overcome with the best socio-

economic factors from birth (roughly 85 vs 100-102). Comparing North-East Asians and especially Ashkenazi Jews with pure Sub-Saharan blacks and the average difference might respectively go up to 20 (85 vs 105) and to somewhere close to 30 IQ points (85 vs low 110s).

Very important to note probably is that these IQ differences appear to go for reasoning and problem-solving areas of IQ; not for working memory and processing speed, which appear to be roughly the same between whites and blacks. Take this latter aspect and combine it with (West-African) blacks' superior physical genetics - less fat, more muscle, more fast-twitch muscle fibers, higher motoric skills from birth, and longer arms - and you still have most components for a super-athlete (or super-criminal), from basketball and sprinting to boxing.

Yes, I purposely avoided mentioning that West-African blacks have higher testosterone levels, because this notion is controversial due to a lack of proper research - research that should single out well-nutritioned (from birth), pure black, West-African gene. As far as I can tell, this has not been done, quite possibly explaining the wide range of encountered results.

Apart from IQ, it appears there are also basic physical differences between races in brain capacity, the number of cortical neurons, pregnancy times and differences in genetic behavior, with blacks naturally being more outgoing, more impulsive, more aggressive and less cautious than other races.

Oh my God, what did I just say?! No, I didn't! Well, yes, I did. And until recently it's probably the last thing I ever envisioned myself saying. But the reader can look at the data below. All of it is backed up on this site to prevent making statements that can't quickly be verified.

Also, looking at all the information below, one instantly wonders how Sub-Saharan Africans and related Caribbean blacks in particular are going to contribute to the West's ever-increasing knowledge-dependent economy in an equal manner. They might be amazing athletes and entertainers, but for the time being they lag far behind, for example, in the tech industry - which is the first thing I checked. Then there's the problem that their crime numbers really are not sustainable for police and judicial services in a free, democratic society. Bring enough of them over here and we'll need a dictator with a repressive, militarized police that starts chopping people's heads off just to keep everyone in line. But maybe that's what elites are looking for in the end.

As usual, the pro-Third World immigration network of "liberal CIA", which is financing #BlackLivesMatter and the like, is stirring up black people by blaming all of their problems on white racism. But the

numbers here - which the liberal media suppress with maximum effort - seem to reveal that something else is going on.

Discrepancy: Nobel Prize for science

Also, only after I put together the basic compilation below did I notice that black people have never received a Nobel Prize for the sciences. That is, chemistry, physics and medicine. In economics, a slightly controversial inclusion in the science category, one black person, Sir William Arthur Lewis, did receive a Nobel Prize in 1979. [11] Considering how hard it was to find absolute confirmation of this fact, it looks like this is another stunning omission from the news. Upon noticing that senior Swedish bureacrat Michael Sohlman, the executive director of the Nobel (Prize) Foundation from 1992 to 2011, also was a founding trustee of George Soros' International Crisis Group from 1994 to 2002, I doubt that the Nobel Prize organization has been "pro-Jewish", "snobby", or "racist". [12] So something else must have been going on.



Sir Arthur Lewis, the only black person to win a Nobel Prize in a science category: economy, in 1979. Many hardly consider economy, generally studied to make money, to be a real "science" though.

Once again, Jewish people are roughly 18 times overrepresented in this area. While only 2% of the U.S. is Jewish, this group has received 36% of all scientific Nobel Prizes. In contrast, black people constitute a solid 13% of the United States, but never produced a single Nobel Prize for science and only one in economics. With economics, Jews sit at a whopping 50%.

As usual, white guilt "liberal CIA" media outlets as The Guardian are even quicker to blame everything on racism [13] than black nationalist publications, despite the fact that for decades racism has hardly played a role anymore for black individuals who behave and dress properly.

Since 2000 Jews have continued to contribute about 27% of all scientific Nobel Prizes; blacks none. Hence, it looks as if something is different with the black race that most likely goes beyond mere culture and socio-economic relations. Certainly it should be clear that they have trouble functioning properly in white society, or basically any other society for that matter. [14] To be honest, I only have to walk through my own city to see continuous evidence of this.

As for Jews being so strongly overrepresented, it appears this is the result of a 2,000 year long emphasis on scholarship and interbreeding. This genetic discrepancy might be a tough pill to swallow, but it is nevertheless reality.

Within a few years, by the way, expect the creation of a Ford Foundation and Soros-funded "Committee to Produce Black Nobel Price Winners". Turns out, one of their key agents, Van Jones, for years now has been running the YesWeCode group in an effort to force black programmers into "racist" Silicon Valley. The elites know exactly what's going on and they'll do anything in their power to try and nullify any argument "racists" might bring up against Third World immigration. It all comes down to window-dressing, but efforts like these can be highly effective propaganda-wise.

RACE DATA OVERSIGHT

Key data has been organized below, quite a bit manually put together by this author to make this controversial subject quicker to understand.

Global racial IQ numbers: 54 (Kalahari), 102 (white), to... 111 (Singapore)?

The IQ numbers given in the world map below are averages of generally three different country studies. These studies all were manually collected by the author.


GLOBAL IQ SCORES
Version II: This version more strongly reflects the white IQ in western countries, as well as Eastern Europe after additional development. OECD PISA scores show that IQ in the West has been dramatically dropping since the first tests for reading (2000) and math (2003) due to Third World immigration, often hitting the low to mid 90s.
ISGP-studies.com '16
West E.U. in 2030:
Non-West E.U.: 13%
Muslim: 8%
S.S. Afr./Car. black: ±1%*
A) Inc. 2nd/3rd gener.
B) Big city concentrated
ISRAEL (avg. 95)
Ashken. Jew: 103
Oriental Jew: 91
Arab: 86
Ethiopian Jew: 65
U.S. in 2030:
White: 56%
Hispanic: 22%
Black: 12%
Asian: 7%
U.K. black: 87
U.S. Jews: 108-113
U.S. Indians: 102+
U.S. whites: 103
U.S. hispanics: 92
U.S. blacks (27% white on ave.): 85
FAR EAST ASIA: A degree of test rigging and test "gaming", combined with extreme study pressures.
Blacks: Sub-Saharan and Caribbean: 67-71
Hispanics, Mestizo 6-40% white
49% white
7% black
88% white
89% white
86% white
Kalahari Bushmen: 54 (with 39 the lowest individual result)
74% Chinese
108-111
Aborigines: 62
STANFORD BINET IQ SCALE
90 - 109: Average
80 - 89: Low Average
70 - 79: Borderline impaired
55 - 69: Mildly impaired
≤65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
>105
N/A
SOURCES: Lynn (2002, 2006, 2010), Meisenberg (2010), Rushton & Jensen (2005), Rindermann (2007), Richwine (2009), SAT scores (1992-2013), PISA (2003, 2006), etc.
Click image or click here for large version.



Maximum average IQ of whites: 104? 107?

The above map of global IQ scores, as is indicated, actually is version II: a 2024 update from the 2016 original. In this version the very first OECD Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) scores (reading 2000, math 2003) have been emphasized for West-European and English speaking countries, because test scores have been dropping dramatically right from the start of these PISA scores due to Third World immigration into Europe. Existing country scores were averaged again with these numbers. An older test showing IQ 106 for Dutch students, and not used in the 2016 calculations, was averaged as well.

The result is that most countries in these regions received 1 to 3 IQ points extra. Finland received 5 points extra, because it used to top the charts with PISA scores, corresponding with IQ 106. Germany stayed at a score of 99, which this author suspects is due to a large Turkish immigrant population already at that time. Spain lost 1 point, Italy lost 4 points, and Portugal wasn't lowered by a point only because it, rather uniquely in Europe, slightly improved its PISA score in later years. These corrections happen to be in line with southern European countries having scored rather low in PISA all these years, right from the start, and also economically have not been able to compete with North-West Europe. The English-Speaking world, apart from the United States, all gained 2-3 IQ points.

Interestingly, the scores for version II now are much more in line, and even an amalgam, of the rather detailed IQ chart below, backed up a few years ago by this author without doing anything with it due to the unknown source:



104 roughly is the upper average for a lot of north-west European countries. We also see the dichotomy with countries as Portugal, Spain, and Italy. Ironically, already a century ago, American Anglo-Saxon elitists had success in banning most South European migrants with arguments as:

> *"The Berbers of north Africa today are racially identical with the Spaniards and south Italians [and] the population of Greece. ... The south Italians of today are very largely descendants of the nondescript slaves of all races, chiefly from the southern and eastern coasts of the Mediterranean..."*[15]

This, of course, is a major exaggeration. But coincidence or not, IQ- and "economic power"-wise, all of Portugal does have 12% North African genes, south and west Spain has 9 to 12% North African genes, with only the north-east tip sitting between 0 and 3%; and Sicilians have an average of 6% North African genes. At the same though, Sicilians also have 37% Greek genes [16], with Greece always having scored by far the lowest in IQ scores among traditional western countries. In north Italy, similar to north-west Spain, people over the line look like tanned Germans and Scandinavians, with slightly different complexions. As for the North African genes of the Portuguese and Spanish, the following oversight shows this in detail, and also explains with which Muslim conquests these genes spread into these countries:

Average North African genetic admixture detected in 1530 individuals from Spain and Portugal*

Cluster	N	% African Admixture	Admixture Event
Atlantic	407	**11.91%**	10th Century AD
Southern	41	**10.92%**	12th Century AD
West Central	421	**9.00%**	8th Century AD
East Central	240	**6.17%**	10th Century AD
North East	344	**3.23%**	8th Century AD
Basque	77	**0.12%**	8th Century AD

*Populations of Canary Islands, Azores and Madeira are excluded.



SOURCE: Bycroft, C. Fernandez-Rozadilla, C., Ruiz-Ponte, C. et al. Patterns of genetic differentiation and the footprints of historical migrations in the Iberian Peninsula. Nat. Commun. 19. 551 (2019)

Today, of course, we don't need to worry about traditional Muslim conquests. White people have their own mysterious supranational government - known as "the globalist movement" - and it is wiping out white society's IQ and consciousness through White Replacement quicker and more effective than any African invasion ever could. As a result, none of the European IQ numbers above are accurate anymore for today, at least not when talking about the entirety of the population. As discussed in a separate section, by the mid 2020s overall PISA scores for the West dropped down to the mid to high 90s IQ-wise, due to Third World immigration.

Of course, this author is not focused on "country IQ" for the most part, but on "race IQ" and to what extent a country has maximized its race IQ through its social and educational systems. Needless to say, for white people this has been tough to figure out. PISA scores are great, but they would have helped more if they started somewhere in the 1980s or 1990s, with less Third World immigration - although mainly because it is very rare for "ethnic OECD scores" to "leak out". All IQ scores taken together indicate that a good education system with proper motivation is able to lift the average white IQ (back) to somewhere around 104 to 107, putting it on par with Far East Asia and slightly below American and European Jews. Possibly it could be slightly higher still if we employ a similar system of "overachievement" as we see in Far Asia, although, to be fair, this is highly undesirable.

Far East Asians: really 108-111 IQs? Or "gaming" the tests?

As for Far East Asians, we can complain about their culture of "tiger moms" and "kiasu parents", essentially torturing their kids into academic

excellence for years on end, and subsequent ultralong working hours in places as Japan and China. We can complain that they too cannot solve additional basic problems, such as completely unaffordable houses in places as South Korea, even for a perpetually "overqualified" workforce [17], to not even having any time to raise much-needed babies. [18] We can complain about China selecting schools in its better performing regions to take PISA tests [19], while in the rural parts of the country *"school attendance rates can be as low as 40%"*, with a 2015 test revealing that *"only 6.2% of the Chinese people held basic science literacy."*[20]

We're not even talking about all the spitting and smoking, the vicious abuse of animals as dogs, cutting lines everywhere, and "mainland Chinese" pooping in the streets, in malls, at airports, Disney Lands, buses - wherever it pleases them. [21] Despite all that "non-culture", all of China seems to score IQ 101 or higher on average anyway:



We could point out that Far East Asians have problems with independent thinking, which, at least in Japan, we also find back in test scores part of the "reading" program in which students, despite having achieved higher PISA scores, continue to have problems with questions *"to evaluate the credibility of information and explain one's opinion while providing evidence"*, which *"also had a low percentage of acceptable answers at 14.3%."*[22]. As a result, in the Japanese press, criticism has been leveled that *"it defeats the purpose if the [government's] policy's sole objective [is] to raise Japan's rankings in PISA,"* because *"what is required of education is for children to develop the ability to think for themselves and take appropriate action."*[23]

The situation in wealthy city-state Singapore, which seemingly turns out students with an average IQ of 111, seems even worse. First, there's the usual incredible amount of extra, private tutoring going around: *"40% of*

pre-schoolers receive private tuition, ... 60% of high school, and 80% of primary school age students."[24] In the West, hardly any student has this. However, another key problem is that you can find number of Singaporean students online who explain that for a lot of high schools here, intricate ways of "gaming tests" has become the second national sport, apart from a maximum amount of private tutoring. Here's one:

> *"I'm one of the [Singaporean] people that got trained to game [PISA-like] tests and thus my results were extremely good, but the only academic subjects I actually had any talent in were Organic Chemistry and English (since logic is not a mainstream subject in school). The other results were gross overestimates of my actual ability. ...*
>
> *"If you look at an exam question and the first thing you think is 'how should I answer this question', congratulations, you're a normal human being. The Singaporean 'test topper' is trained to instead ignore the question first, look at the number of marks assigned for it, [etc.] ...*
>
> *"[Our test gaming is] giving the very false impression that [our] students are innately geniuses. [But] throw them a real life situation which requires understanding certain concepts to solve, and you'll end up with the impression that our top students seem to be quite incompetent, while a lot of 'B' students will actually figure out a solution to that situation."*[25]

Despite the question marks, Asians are no slouches. We see their aptitude for structural engineering and mechanical engineering, both in past centuries as the present, from the cities they build to the high tech CPU and GPU chips the produce. Korean children raised from a very young age in middle class Belgian and American families apparently develop average IQs of 118-122. Even if you apply an " IQ norm inflation" of 5 points, you still arrive at a mighty impressive 113-117.

So, who knows, if Far East Asians take a more western approach to life and education, they might even score higher than they do today with all the little test manipulations and overstudying. Most likely though, if white westerners get a bit more motivation for beta subjects and the Far East Asians stop gaming tests and work a bit on "independent thinking", scores are likely going to be very comparable. After all, we've seen IQ tests in places as The Netherlands and Finland of 106-107. White U.S. students have scored 103 in PISA in just one modern test. It seems to mainly just be a question of interest and motivation. Far East Asians like robots and their own "honor" more; white people freedom, creativity and social affairs.

The only reason Far East Asians probably didn't rule the world before white people did so, is due to their (extra) repressive governments and philosophies resulting in a lack of pioneering and independent thought. China, for thousands of years, has had its Confucian master-servant system. Next, it was held down and culturally destroyed by Mao and his economic and cultural communism. Japan, from 1603 to 1868 alone, went through the Tokugawa Period, an isolationist, Confucian- and Bushido-inspired dictatorship based on master-servant relationships in which the merchant class were lowly servants of the Samurai families. Japan barely interacted with the outside world at all during this period. This mindset is likely the only thing that kept the Far East Asians from competing at an earlier stage with white society all over the world.

And now we have the supranational western "globalist" government, with its white replacement agenda, clearing the way for them.

APA study on cognitive abilities: blacks (85), whites (102), East Asians (106)

Below is another oversight taken from a 2005 paper that appeared in the journal of the American Psychological Association:

Table 3
Worldwide Average Differences Among Blacks, Whites, and East Asians

Trait	Blacks	Whites	East Asians
Intelligence			
IQ test scores	85	102	106
Decision times	Slower	Intermediate	Faster
Cultural achievements	Low	High	High
Brain size			
Cranial capacity (cm^3)	1,267	1,347	1,364
Cortical neurons (millions)	13,185	13,665	13,767
Maturation rate			
Gestation time	Shorter	Longer	Longer
Skeletal development	Earlier	Intermediate	Later
Motor development	Earlier	Intermediate	Later
Dental development	Earlier	Intermediate	Later
Age of first intercourse	Earlier	Intermediate	Later
Age of first pregnancy	Earlier	Intermediate	Later
Life span	Shortest	Intermediate	Longest
Personality			
Aggressiveness	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Cautiousness	Lower	Intermediate	Higher
Impulsivity	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Self-concept	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Sociability	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Reproduction			
Two-egg twinning (per 1,000 births)	16	8	4
Hormone levels	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Sex characteristics	Larger	Intermediate	Smaller
Intercourse frequencies	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Permissive attitudes	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Sexually transmitted diseases	Higher	Intermediate	Lower
Social organization			
Marital stability	Lower	Intermediate	Higher
Law abidingness	Lower	Intermediate	Higher
Mental health	Lower	Intermediate	Higher

Note. From *Race, Evolution, and Behavior: A Life History Perspective* (p. 5), by J. P. Rushton, 2000, Port Huron, MI: Charles Darwin Research Institute. Copyright 2000 by J. P. Rushton. Adapted with permission.

The above chart may possibly to be skewed a little against black people and in favor of whites, but overall much seems to be accurate. I personally was surprised to learn that indeed gestation differences of

about a week exist between black and white people, although the evidence seems to point out that Asian people too have a shorter gestation time, similar to black people [26] - which is counter to the claim we find in the above oversight. Then again, I did not do in-depth research on this and North-East Asians differ quite a bit from South or South-East Asians in terms of intelligence, and thus most likely certain biological traits as well.

East Europe's gypsies: Indian-heritage, smaller skulls, IQ of 80 vs 101



The Mankind Quarterly
Volume XLIV Number 3 & 4, Spring/Summer 2004

291

The IQ of Gypsies in Central Europe

Petr Bakalar[1]
Prague

297

Table 2:
Results of Gypsy children

Variable	*Mean*	*SD*	*median*	*No. of examinees*
IQ_VERB	81,87	10,83	79	89
IQ_PERF	80,06	10,94	79	89
IQ_	79,58	10,16	79	89

Table 3:
Results of non-Gypsy children

Variable	*Mean*	*SD*	*median*	*No. of examinees*
IQ_VERB	101,28	14,35	101	1363
IQ_PERF	101,41	14,27	102	1359
IQ_	101,41	14,28	101	1357

Skull measurements relating to IQ got a bad reputation after World War II, because authors of Hitler-inspiring books such as 'The Passing of the Great Race' (1916) heavily based themselves on the 1899 book 'The Races of Europe', written by a certain William Z. Ripley. The book introduced a "Cephalic Index" for skull measurements for people around the world. Some of the skulls are rounder or narrower than others, in which manner different "races" were determined. It wasn't particularly scientific.

Despite that, it has been documented by more modern mainstream IQ researchers that there exists a *"weak but statistically significant correlation of the order of .15 [15%] to .25 [25%] between IQ and head size."* [27] In one case, for example, it was found that East European gypsy children, which hail from India and have an IQ of 80, compared to non-Gypsy children of just over 101, also had a smaller skull circumference. [28]

The low measured IQ with Gypsies sure could explain why Gypsy/Roma people are notorious street beggars and pickpockets, why they don't clean up their slums as Lunik IX, and why they face so much "racism", even in Eastern Europe. [29]

Keep in mind that the term "Roma" has nothing to do with "Romanians", even though Romania harbors quite a few "Roma", a.k.a. "Gypsies".

Adopted from birth by whites: black (IQ 89), white (IQ 106), Korean (IQ 120)

Below is an example of a study with black and white children, all of which raised by middle-class white foster parents, that appears to reveal a 17 point genetic IQ difference between the white and black race. Click on the image to open the full report:

Table 2
Comparison of Cognitive Performance Measures at Ages 7 and 17 in Biologic Black) Children, All Reared in Middle-Class White Families

Children's background	Age 7 IQ	Age 17 IQ	g
Biological parents	120	115	
Nonadopted, with two White biological parents (*N* at age 7 = 143; *N* at 17 = 104)	117	109	
Adopted, with two White biological parents (*N* at age 7 = 25; *N* at 17 = 16)	112	106	
Adopted, with one White and one Black biological parent (*N* at age 7 = 68; *N* at 17 = 55)	109	99	
Adopted, with two Black biological parents (*N* at age 7 = 29; *N* at 17 = 21)	97	89	

Note. From "The Minnesota Transracial Adoption Study: A Follow-Up of IQ Test P Scarr, and I. D. Waldman, 1992, *Intelligence, 16,* pp. 117–135. Copyright 1992 by Ab
[a] Based on national norms (weighted mean of four percentiles).

In contrast, a 1989 study with 19 adopted Korean children in white Belgian families revealed an average IQ of 118, about 12 to 18 points higher than whites. [30] In a 1992-published study, in which Korean children adopted into white American middle class families were analyzed, they measured an average full IQ of 122, which is the average of verbal, performance, processing speed, and short-term memory IQs. It measured 119 when sticking to the common average of verbal and performance IQ. See a few screenshots from this study below:



THE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF ADOPTED KOREAN CHILDREN: FACTORS OF INTELLECT AND HOME EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

(Order no.)

LINDA A. GILDEA

Boston University, School of Education, 1992

Major Professor: Ralph Mosher Professor of: Education

Table 8. BAR GRAPH OF WISC-R MEAN SCORES.

p.97

Forty-three Korean children and their adoptive American parents participated in this study. The academic the total group. All the children were placed in their adoptive families by two years of age, with the exception of two children.

There is at least one more study of Far East Asian children having been adopted into white families. University of California, Berkeley professor Arthur Jensen concluded about them as a whole in 1998:

> *"Three independent studies of Asian children (from Cambodia, Korea, Thailand, and Vietnam) adopted into white families in the United States and Belgium have found that, by school age, their IQ (and scholastic achievement), on average, considerably exceeds that of middle-class white American and Belgian children by at least ten IQ points, despite the fact that many of the Asian children had been diagnosed as suffering from malnutrition prior to adoption."*[31]

To say if the IQ of East Asians really is in the 120 norm when adopted into white families, in white society, would require a few additional studies. However, there's every indication that the IQ numbers of Far East Asians are on the high side. It's not just about their test scores in school, or the high technology they build. Even people in the Chinese backlands and in Mongolia have average IQs of 101 or higher.

IQ scores of blacks in the West: 85-87, versus 100 for whites

The basic U.S. black-white IQ gap as documented in a National Longitudinal Surveys of Youth study, including a number of additions:



The same average 84-87 IQ number has been observed in blacks in the UK.

U.S. SAT scores: shows whites 10-14 points higher IQ than hispanics-blacks

Statistic on American SAT scores, taken to determine college and university levels, can be found below. This test deals with reading only, so the total points here are 800. Another 800 are reserved for math, bringing the total to 1600. These tests often are not taken by students not suited or willing to go to college or university, so the scores will be higher than if the entirety of the population would take part in them.



These numbers may not mean much to non-Americans. In order to be competitive for a major university, a score of 650 for reading and 750 for math - 1,400 total (correlating to an IQ of 135 or higher) - is roughly the minimum required. While since 2008 many black students can even get into Harvard with scores lower than 550 due to anti-white race quotas

(total score likely around 1100, or an IQ of 115), traditionally, for those that score lower the advice has been:

> *"Students who score a 480 or higher on this section have a 75% chance of earning at least a C in entry-level college courses in literature, writing, social sciences, or history."*[32]

Whatever the cause, this means that the average white person or (Far East) Asian is suited for minor state colleges, assuming they work hard. This does not go for Mexicans and even less blacks. They are going to have to find some extra motivation.

If we look general conversations for SAT to IQ [33], we find that a total score of 860 (430 x2) correlates to an IQ of 98.6. A total score of 1040 (520 x2) correlates to an IQ of 112. This gives us a 14.4 point difference, very similar to what we see in all other IQ tests between whites and blacks. Hispanics with a total score of 940 (460 x2) gives us an IQ of 103. That's a 10 point difference, and as always sitting right in between whites and blacks.

With these calculations we looked at the 1992 numbers, as in this period there was the least amount of race mixing. There is not much difference though with the numbers for 2014. It still should be noted that American blacks already carry an average of 27% white genes and score much higher on IQ tests than African or Caribbean blacks: about 85-87 nationwide compared to 99-101 for whites (in line with 18% gap in the SAT tests), with primarily verbal/intellectual and fluid reasoning (problem solving and such) lagging behind. This is much less so for 'Processing Speed' and 'Working Memory', which sits at almost the same numbers for white and black.

OECD PISA scores converted to IQ: U.S. black (84), white (103), Asian (103-108)

In 2000 the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) produced its first Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) report, based on its expanding number of member and partner countries. The results are given for 'reading', 'math', and 'science', each with a maximum of 600 points. The latter category has not been analyzed in much detail by the author, because the results are quite similar to 'math' in particular.

The regular OECD reports do not contain any distinctions between race. However, it is clear that behind-the-scenes the OECD does have at least some of this raw data available. A 2007 paper of the U.S. Department of Education revealed the scores per race based on the 2006 PISA scores.

Subsequently these PISA scores were converted to an IQ score here. [34] The numbers are quite recognizable:



Click to enlarge.

Immigration-fueled freefall of PISA scores and IQ in the West: from mainly 100-106 to the 80s and 90s

If we go back to the earlier OECD PISA scores, comparing them over longer periods of time than merely 3 or 4 years, as the OECD has usually done, quickly reveals some worrying, if not apocalyptic, trends, at least for Europe and the English-speaking world. In the previous section we already demonstrated that the cause is Third World immigration, but, in this section, let's pretend, we are just casual observers who have no awareness of this. Because, in the end, it doesn't take a genius to spot these problematic trends:



Click HERE for an Excel sheet created by this author organizing and color-coding all the PISA score trends. 2003 PISA math scores can be found HERE. 2022 PISA numbers can be found HERE.

Based from data on a number of additional PISA charts, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. Already right from 2000, PISA scores were dropping year-on-year, especially for mathematics.

2. In 2000 12 western countries sat above 500 for 'reading'. In 2022 only four were left: Ireland (516), Canada (507), the U.S. (504), New Zealand (501). In other words, not a single country anymore from mainland West-Europe was represented in this most prestigious category.

3. In 2003 13 western countries scored above 500 for 'mathematics'. In 2022 only Switzerland was left in this category.

4. Conversion to IQ have been added, because it much clearer shows how the West is in decline. Based on PISA scores, most western countries used to have a (white) IQ ranging from 100 to 106, with in particular southern European countries lagging behind. But now almost every western country has dropped down into the 90s. Some even into the 80s.

This decline was so dramatic that even the OECD stopped ignoring the issue and pointed it out on its website [35] and in its 2022 PISA report. [36] The following charts could be seen in this report:


Figure I.6.2. Trajectories of average performance in mathematics across PISA assessments
Direction and trajectory of trends in mean mathematics performance
Countries/economies with a positive average trend
Increasingly positive
Steadily positive
Positive, but flattening
(less positive over more recent years)
Macao (China) (03)
Türkiye (03)
Brazil (03)
Colombia (06)
Israel (06)
Italy (03)
Montenegro (06)
Peru (09)
Portugal (03)
Qatar (06)
Countries/economies with no significant average trend
U-shaped
(more positive over more recent years)
Flat
Hump-shaped
(more negative over more recent years)
Argentina (06)
Croatia (06)
Ireland* (03)
Japan (03)
Latvia* (03)
Lithuania (06)
Singapore (09)
Chinese Taipei (06)
United Arab Emirates (09)
United Kingdom* (06)
Albania (09)
Bulgaria (06)
Chile (06)
Estonia (06)
Hong Kong (China)* (03)
Indonesia (03)
Mexico (03)
Poland (03)
Romania (06)
Serbia (06)
Spain (03)
Countries/economies with a negative average trend
Increasingly negative
Steadily negative
Negative, but flattening
(less negative over more recent years)
Finland (03)
Germany (03)
Greece (03)
Iceland (03)
Jordan (06)
Norway (03)
Slovenia (06)
Switzerland (03)
Thailand (03)
Australia* (03)
Austria (03)
Belgium (03)
Canada* (03)
Costa Rica (10)
Denmark* (03)
France (03)
Hungary (03)
Korea (03)
Netherlands* (03)
New Zealand* (03)
Slovak Republic (03)
United States* (03)
Uruguay (03)
Czech Republic (03)
Sweden (03)
PISA mathematics score
2000 2003 2006 2009 2012 2015 2018 2022
Notes: Figures are for illustrative purposes only. Countries and economies are grouped according to the overall direction of their trend (the sign and significance of the average decennial trend) and to the rate of change in the direction of their trend (the sign and significance of the curvature in the estimate of quadratic trends) (see Annex A7).
Only countries and economies with data from at least five PISA mathematics assessments are included. Not all countries and economies can compare their students' performance over the same period. For each country/economy, the base year, starting from which mathematics results can be compared, is indicated in parentheses next to the country's/economy's name ("03" = 2003, "06" = 2006, etc.). Both the overall direction and the change in the direction may be affected by the period considered.
Georgia, Malta and Moldova conducted the PISA 2009 assessment in 2010, then participated in PISA 2015, 2018 and 2022. The average trend in mathematics over the full period is not significant in Georgia and Malta, and positive in Moldova. Malaysia conducted the PISA 2009 assessment in 2010, then participated in all subsequent assessments; however, PISA 2015 results were not deemed comparable due to low response rates. The average trend in mathematics over the full period, excluding results from PISA 2015, is not significant. Panama* participated in PISA 2009, 2018 and 2022. The average trend in mathematics over the full period is not significant.
Source: OECD, PISA 2022 Database, Table I.B1.5.4.
PISA 2022 RESULTS (VOLUME I) © OECD 2023

Click to enlarge.

Granted, this report was released after continuous COVID lockdowns of 2020 and 2021, possibly causing a slightly sharper decline. As can also been seen above though, this trend always was visible, right from the start. Even Eastern Europe, where Third World immigrants are coming in at lower rates, seems to befall the same fate as western Europe, with about a decade of delay.

One of the early OECD charts where this emerging trend clearly could be seen was the following 2006 one, where reading performance between 2000 and 2006 was analyzed:



Click HERE for an Excel sheet created by this author organizing and color-coding all the PISA score trends. 2000 PISA reading scores can be found HERE. 2022 PISA numbers can be found HERE.

Despite mostly still being small, two-thirds of OECD countries, including almost all western countries, showing a decline in student performance during these years is extremely worrying, especially if, as the OECD report claimed, there had been considerable increases in educational expenses in most countries. It doesn't take much of an intellect to deduce that this trend is likely only to continue if circumstances don't chance - and that is exactly what we see with the added 2022 data. At the time though, the OECD decided to stick its head in the sand, concluding:

> *"Performance in PISA reading has remained broadly similar between PISA 2000 and PISA 2006. [But] a number of countries saw a decline in their reading performance between PISA 2000 and PISA 2006: nine OECD countries (in descending order) – Spain, Japan, Iceland, Norway, Italy, France, Australia, Greece and Mexico, as well as five partner countries, Argentina, Romania, Bulgaria, Russian Federation and Thailand. ...*
>
> *"This, in itself, is noteworthy because most countries have significantly increased their investment in education in recent years. ... In the short period between 2000 ... and 2004, the average increase amounted to 22% and in 6 OECD countries to between 30 and 61%. ... Between 1995 and 2004 expenditure per primary and secondary student increased by 39% in real terms..."*[37]

References to "Table 2.6" in the report supposedly showing all the increases in educational expenses led to nowhere, but in a 2003 OECD report we can see that from the early 1990s to 2003 increases took place in 'Governments' overall expenditures to higher education', generally between 20 and 30%. These included Australia (50%), Ireland, Belgium, France, Austria, Canada, Norway, Denmark, and Switzerland, as well as lower-performing countries Spain and Greece. Finland actually cut student funding by 20%, but continued to be a top performer for some years still. [38]

In the same 2006 OECD report as the above chart for 'reading', also the trending chart for 'mathematics' was discussed. Also here the OECD stuck its head in the sand, claiming:

> *"Mathematics performance has remained unchanged between PISA 2003 and PISA 2006, the difference of 2 score points for the OECD average not being statistically significant."*[39]

The 2022 data once again shows this to have been a clear underestimation of the situation. The changes in scores were a tell-tale sign of an impending avalanche:



Click HERE for an Excel sheet created by this author organizing and color-coding all the PISA score trends. 2022 PISA numbers can be found HERE.

It should be kept in mind that not only extra funds towards education wasn't a solution, but also other measures have been failing, at least in the long run. These measures include:

1. Keeping failing immigrant children - elementary school and high school - at school until at least 16:00. Times of 14:45 to 15:15 used to be the universal norm.

2. Specific "booster programs" for teachers to specifically help them give effective lessons in language and math. [40]

3. Despite the extra hours and more effective way of teaching, still limit or fully get rid of music, drama, gym, history, and geography classes to spent (much) more time on language and math - simply to boost PISA scores.

4. The hiring even of persons as assistant teachers who only have a "propedeuze" / "propaedeutic certificate" (which you get after successfully completing the first of four years of education) to try and fill the increasingly enormous shortage of teachers.

5. Boosting or trying to boost teacher salaries.

The author experienced all this as an intern at elementary schools in the 2012-2013 period. It has also been reported on in the media since, generally as a "success story". [41] Be that as it may, reports keep coming out that everywhere where these "booster programs" are not, results are dramatically declining, just as the OECD PISA scores show:

> *"Dutch students are increasingly scoring worse in mathematics, reading and science. Their performance has dropped significantly in recent years. One in three 15-year-olds is unable to understand what is written in a text, compared to one in eight in 2012."* [42]

The problem is, even if they help in increasing grades, the above extra measures change the entire culture of school and take away from "being a child". You're delegated to being an extension of the immigration services, with endless complaints made that "teachers now also have to be the parent, teaching the language and basic manners". Besides that, effectively music lessons also boost IQ in ways language and math cannot.

The following observations also were made by the author in the 2012-2013 period:

1. White classes with parents who for at least one-third were on social security, were easy to teach. The parents are concerned and the

children well-raised from home, even when raised in single parent homes.

2. Even in white classes though, way too often there are ADD (Attention Deficit Disorder) boys that really should be removed for the benefit of the rest of the class. The constant interruptions and policing, as well as the tendency to steer up other boys, easily takes away 50% of teaching time. It undermines the performance of the entire class, but the government forces you to deal with them.

3. Classes with Arabs are very problematic, usually due to the presence of a number of recalcitrant boys, especially Moroccans. Sexual harassment, loud screaming, cursing and contempt for white authority are very common, often under the influence of older brothers. The fathers you seldom see, and the mothers are impossible to talk to. They're all subservient to the husband, they barely speak the language, don't care about white people, and on a sports day with parents they are all huddled together baking Turkish bread.

4. Black classes are the apocalypse. Many of the families are broken up, with kids crying about it at school. Basic norms are lacking even more than with Arab children, and also spreads much more clearly to the girls. Focus, order and respect generally are lacking. Bullying each other in a predatory manner is very common, even among girls. Parents often do not care if their children cause problems for white teachers, with quite a few actually seeming to be proud of that behavior in their children. Kicking and punching teachers is many more common than in white schools, always with excuses being made that the child has "emotional problems". Academic performance seldom is anywhere close to noteworthy, with a huge amount of time lost trying to maintain order. Some teachers manage *much* better than others. The ones that can't, all too often are on anti-depressants and regular work leave.

In short, the freefall of PISA scores in the West is caused by Third World immigration. And it will only get worse.

CITO / IQ of second generation immigrants

Below is a statistic from the Netherlands that involves CITO scores from the children of first generation immigrants. CITO tests are taken in the final year of elementary school and dictate to what level of high school children are allowed. For clarity's sake, the author included all high school levels in the oversight, also the ones above any average scores,

and attached to each level a (well-documented) IQ. From highest to lowest (with the old, easier to understand system and the usual "street-thinking" that went/goes with them):

1. **Gymnasium:** "Brilliant, but nerdy."
2. **VWO:** "Impressively smart."
3. **HAVO:** "Respectable." You make most parents quite proud.
4. **MAVO:** "Lazy, loser, and maybe a little dumb."
5. **VMBO:** "Dumb, destructive, or criminal."
6. **ITO:** "Retarded."


2021-2023 study, University of Amsterdam's School of Economics, 'Borderless Welfare State: The Consequences of Immigration for Public Finances', p. 228. Funder: Renaissance Instituut, tied to the alt-right FvD Party.
Dutch elementary school CITO test scores of 2nd generation immigrants (children of immigrants). ISGP added the high school advices here, plus average IQs at these high school levels to give a better impression of capabilities. Cito test scores have a narrow margin that range from 500 to 550.
Option: GYMNASIUM (Greek & Latin added) - Average IQ: 125-130.
VWO: preparation for university - Average IQ: 117.
HAVO/VWO -> college or university. Trial years to see if you can make VWO or need to stick to HAVO. Average IQ: 112.
HAVO -> college. Average IQ ("required"): 107.
MAVO (defunct)/HAVO -> prep for lower-mid jobs. Av. IQ: 103
VMBO-t/"HAVO" -> prep for lower-mid jobs. Av. IQ: 100
Old "VMBO"/ VMBO-Kader Av. IQ: 95. -> Basic worker prep. 1 step above "impaired" / "special needs"
Cito score 2nd generation
Secular-rational values (WVS)
Japan
Taiwan
Sweden
China
Singapore
Argentina
Hong Kong
United States
Mexico
South Korea
New Zealand
Peru
Romania
Poland
Nigeria
Brazil
Australia
Germany
Philippines
Spain
India
Egypt
Chile
Ecuador
Colombia
Tunisia
Iraq
Lebanon
Libya
Algeria
Pakistan
Ghana
Morocco
Turkey
ŷ = 28,914x + 525,06
R² = .58, r = .76, N = 33, p < .001
A very questionable graph that only partly is insightful. Click to enlarge.

It has to be said, this oversight largely makes no sense, to the point it would have been discarded if not for an additional study showing the state costs for these immigrant groups. The issues:

1. Turks have higher PISA scores and IQ scores than Moroccans and other Arabs, as well as have roughly half the crime numbers of these groups, and yet Turks are at the IQ bottom here, even below sub-Saharan blacks as Ghanaians?
2. Nigeria has a national IQ of 69, any OECD study would reveal a PISA score hopefully somewhere in the 300s, British and American blacks have an IQ of about 85, yet much more freshly-immigrated Dutch Nigerians have better academic performances than almost any Arab nations, Spain and even are on par with Australians and Germans? Which have much higher PISA scores than Nigerians ever will?
3. According to the same study, these same Nigerians cost the state 300,000 euro per person. Yet, supposedly similar-academically performing Australians, Spanish and German immigrants result in a net benefit to the state?
4. Is there really such a big secular-rational difference between Sweden and Australia?

5. Not enough scores for western countries have been taken up.
6. Are these numbers even separated by race? While Pakistanis and Nigerians are 100% Arab and black, and Chinese and Japanese 100% Far East Asian, it's quite possible that a lot of Germans and other "westerners" here are not (anymore), dragging down the numbers for "westerners". We have earlier in this article seen this is happening in the West. There are anything from Russian and German "Afghans" to French "Moroccans" to Iraqi "Poles" here in the Netherlands. It's hard to say how they are classified in government statistics these days.

So yes, there are too many questions here to take anything at face value.

What can be done is give some additional perspective from the Netherlands: this author was given the VWO/HAVO advice at age 12, indicating a score 540 to 545. At least 33% of an all-white class, at a working class school, received this advice - considered I had 6 girls move to the same class in high school as me (of about 22 kids total). Getting into nothing but trouble, high school was a disaster: from going to MAVO after "V/H 2" (and causing a minor uprising after the teacher added, *"That's what you deserve!"*), to flunking even at MAVO 3 - to getting a 9 (A) average at HAVO years later, and being a decent B/B+ student at college (with a lot of hard work that is).

In hindsight, one thing has been very obvious: 95% of blacks and Arabs were stuck at VMBO or lower in that period, and the 5 (males) that are remembered, three of them at MAVO, all were beyond dysfunctional. Two Indians too: a little weird, a little nasty (again, males, the women were pretty great). After the MAVO was replaced with VMBO, stories emerged from my old school of an infestation of Moroccans in particular and the usual stories of stabbings and shootings - behavior that since then has spread to the entire country.

If anything, immigration forces white kids to "shape up", because at least in the Netherlands, you don't want to have any lower education than HAVO and HBO college. Traditional MAVO wasn't all that bad. Quite a few underachievers, from skaters and punkers to heavy metal fans - but not dumb at all. The "foreign crowd" is what increasingly gave everything a nasty, hostile vibe - worse than any football hooligan.

The cost of immigrants: +€250,000 for Swedes, -€525,000 for Moroccans, -€600,000 for blacks

The same paper as above, also calculated how much the average immigrant yields or costs the Dutch state over a lifetime. Corporations

benefit from the endless supply of cheap labor, at least purely financially, but in terms of tax money - not to mention the social cost - the story is quite different:



RACE IQ DISTORTION

Beware newer, fake, pro-Iran, pro-Third World, inflated "IIT" IQ charts

The original version of this article was published in January 2016. Realizing its sensitivity to globalist elites, over the years I worried that Third World IQ numbers would start to get manipulated through "liberal CIA" funding, activism and pressure. Well, in 2020 very prominent but semi-hobby site World Population Review (its team is basically anonymous, and seems to be able to make good money through ads in Google's post-2017 "authoritative content only"-era) produced a very similar map and chart as what I have above, although only based on the often questionable 2019 Richard Lynn list, in which Lynn vastly underreports various IQs it appears:



But then, from 2024 on, World Population Review replaced its map with numbers derived from *"data from International IQ Test (IIT) [which] are based on data from 1,352,763 participants worldwide who took the same IQ test on the website in 2024."* [43] It doesn't even allow you to look at the traditional map anymore, although it still lists those numbers in a table - for now.

Oddly, the new "IIT" IQ scores for the Third World often are 20, 30, 40, 50 points higher than those from professional tests of decades past. Just as noteworthy, all of a sudden Iran upped its IQ from 82-84 until 2020 to a max of 106.63 in early 2025 [44], consistently putting itself in the top 2 to top 4 of the world, far above Israel and western countries. In this screenshot the IQ was slightly lower:



The only positive of IIT results is that it provides evidence for collapsing IQs in the West due to Third World immigration. This aspect already has been discussed.

So, what is this "International IQ Test"? Certainly the acronym "IIT" makes it sound like some kind of new scientific standard. Unfortunatly, no links, full names, or other information is to be found on World Population Review. We check, and eventually it turns out that the numbers come from this 2018-founded site: international-iq-test.com. Anno 2026, it promotes itself as having a *"global database - containing over 16 million results..."*[45] Okay, that sounds impressive. But there are nothing but problems with this site and its assumed database. Problems, by the way, that are so glaringly obvious that even ChatGPT's discussion of them comes across as a roast:

1. It lists no founders, site admins, company names, academic institutions, or foundations as owners or sponsors.
2. Its WHOIS is fully anonymized via a privacy service.
3. Even the formal "studies" they post only are signed, *"Published by: International IQ Test."*[46]
4. The site is highly suspect due to glaring red flags being ignored, being seen as a sole authority by "World Population Review", and propagandist / ignorant comments as, *"The report confirmed a worldwide mean IQ of 100 with a standard deviation of 15."*[47]
5. The test is quite basic, compared to a full length, official one.
6. You can pause the test, maybe even screenshot the question, go out there to study the problem, do some practice exercises, and come back with all kinds of new insights.
7. You can do the test again and again to up your score. The site basically has to allow that, because plenty of "casuals" will check

out the IQ test "a little" and only after some time gather the motivation to do a "serious" test.

8. Counter-measures are limited and unverified. IIT claims it counters these efforts, but as only counter-measures it lists *"anti-bot filters"* and *"one unique email address per participant."* [48] I haven't had to fill in a email address at this point, but this is not nearly enough. You need to identify the race of each person, especially in places as South Africa. And you need to prevent people from doing the test multiple times (or without prior practice), which is going to be near impossible to do, especially when users come in with an ever-switching mobile IP, and on top of that no accounts or payment data being needed.
9. Only people who know English can take the test, which in the Third World only academic, political, business, and colonialist elites know well enough.
10. The site obviously will mostly draw college- and university-level participants.
11. Certainly looking at Iran, which always loves to pound its chest, it is far from unfeasible that the government has been stimulating academics to take the test.
12. Data on Sub-Sahara Africa in particular is very sketchy, as most poor people still have no access to internet, nor desktops or laptops. Anno 2021, among students in Sub-Saharan Africa, *"82% lack internet access [and] 89% [does] not have access to household computers."* [49] 60% of all Sub-Saharan people use the internet at least occasionally (in internet cafes, or through mobiles of friends, or at work, etc.), but this percentage is much lower in poorer areas. [50] The primary means of internet in Sub-Saharan Africa is through mobile phones [51], on very limited data plans of about 100 MB per day. How many people here are going to be bothered with boring, tedious IQ tests?
13. Apparently there's no data from countless African countries, again drawing questions as to how many people took the test in the countries that are listed. Back when I paid attention to Statcounter (or even had it), about 1 in 100 visits came from Africa, with the only people commenting to me being white Africans.

Needless to say, a good amount of second rate media, in particular from the Middle East, have used these its rankings as a coping mechanism to make the case that they are "special" and "superior" after all too. The Beiruter, from Lebanon, is an example, by posting that *"the Lebanese ranked highest in IQ in the Arab world"* [52], while the Sri Lankan Morning Telegraph used ITT to claim that *"Sri Lanka Tops South Asia in IQ Rankings"*. [53] Even an Australian outlet referred to the rankings [54], while the Tehran Times [55], the Islamic Republic of Iran Facebook page [56], and

Iran Observer twitter/X page all have celebrated Iran's 106.63 score - number 2 - on the IIT chart for January 1, 2025:



Iran Observer
@IranObserver0
Abonneren

The international IQ test has placed Iran in second place in its 2025 ranking with an average intelligence quotient (IQ) of 106.63

Post vertalen

Ranking	Country	IQ	Participants	Previous year	Differenc
1.	China	**107.43**	117312	106.99 (244532)	+0.44
2.	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	**106.63**	4064	106.84 (2959)	-0.21
3.	South Korea	**106.57**	24227	107.54 (23616)	-0.97
4.	Japan	**106.54**	149450	106.18 (179992)	+0.36
5.	Singapore	**105.25**	5349	106.18 (4576)	-0.93
6.	Russian Federation	**103.31**	19985	100.64 (92769)	+2.67
7.	Mongolia	**103.13**	2744	102.3 (3126)	+0.83
8.	Australia	**102.67**	9812	101.7 (12680)	+0.97
9.	Armenia	**102.64**	450	99.87 (875)	+2.77
10.	Spain	**102.37**	11583	102.01 (20347)	+0.36

12:36 p.m. · 5 jan. 2025 · **644,6K** Weergaven

As already discussed, the problem is that not only has average Iranian IQ been measured around 82-84 for decades, resulting in positions ranging from 23 to 119 among 199 countries; domestically, through at least 52 studies, Ayatollah Iran has been propagandizing the idea that the average IQ in Iran is *"105.61 in the population older than 20 years old."*[57] And by coincidence we see an ever higher IQ number for Iran in the annual IIT charts?

In a funny coincidence, I have covert audio recordings with three Iranian immigrants, whom Dutch Justice has been protecting to the max, but should have been prosecuted and deported a long time ago. The

recordings pretty quickly reveal I was dealing with people that are more bone-headed and radical, and less intellectual and even "conscious" than any white person I ever interacted with.

So no, at the very least IIT is going along with Iranian propaganda. At worst it's an Iranian propaganda project. And let's not even talk about the Rockefeller, Soros and Koch foundations running a pro-Ayatollah Iran Project from right after 9/11 until at least the 2025 Israel-Iran war and subsequent January 2026 massacre of tens of thousands of protesters, reflecting the same elements who are desperate to cook Third World IQ numbers. So who knows what is going on with IIT.

Despite all these enormous red flags, World Population Review replaced the original chart with the hobby one at international-iq-test.com (IIT). If I were to venture a guess as to why, I assume it's because the site has repeatedly been attacked over it in their mailbox by antifa trolls, and online by "liberal CIA" slander sites as mediabiasfactcheck.com, which of course immediately zoomed in on the original IQ chart to suggest it was *"racially biased."*[58] Quite possibly they also noticed that Google and other search engines were handing out secret ranking penalties for keeping up the original IQ chart, similar to what ISGP has been experiencing.

Then again, they may purposely falsely spread right-left propaganda on behalf of some security service. They could easily do a better job.

Richard Lynn's 2019 global IQ list: purposely lowering (some) Third World IQs?

After I wrote the section above, I thought it might be nice to copy the World Population Review's global IQ list, based on Richard Lynn's 2019-published version of 'The Intelligence of Nations'. I still have that list believe. Before discussing it further, the reader may want to check it out, because until the below IQ 60-listed countries, it mostly seems accurate (give or take within 5 IQ points):

1. **Japan:** 106.48
2. **Taiwan:** 106.47
3. **Singapore:** 105.89
4. **Hong Kong:** 105.37
5. **China:** 104.10
6. **South Korea:** 102.35
7. **Belarus:** 101.60
8. **Finland:** 101.20
9. **Liechtenstein:** 101.07
10. **Germany:** 100.74
11. **Netherlands:** 100.74
12. **Estonia:** 100.72
13. **Luxembourg:** 99.87
14. **Macau:** 99.82
15. **Cambodia:** 99.75
16. **Canada:** 99.52
17. **Australia:** 99.24
18. **Hungary:** 99.24

19. **Switzerland:** 99.24
20. **United Kingdom:** 99.12
21. **Greenland:** 98.89
22. **North Korea:** 98.82
23. **Slovenia:** 98.60
24. **New Zealand:** 98.57
25. **Austria:** 98.38
26. **Iceland:** 98.26
27. **Denmark:** 97.83
28. **Belgium:** 97.49
29. **United States:** 97.43
30. **Norway:** 97.13
31. **Sweden:** 97.00
32. **France:** 96.69
33. **Poland:** 96.35
34. **Slovakia:** 96.32
35. **Russia:** 96.29
36. **Lithuania:** 95.89
37. **Croatia:** 95.75
38. **Andorra:** 95.20
39. **Ireland:** 95.13
40. **Czechia:** 94.92
41. **Latvia:** 94.79
42. **Italy:** 94.23
43. **Vanuatu:** 93.92
44. **New Caledonia:** 93.92
45. **Spain:** 93.90
46. **Bermuda:** 93.48
47. **Cyprus:** 93.39
48. **Portugal:** 92.77
49. **Israel:** 92.43
50. **Barbados:** 91.60
51. **Malta:** 91.27
52. **Myanmar:** 91.18
53. **Mongolia:** 91.03
54. **Bulgaria:** 90.99
55. **Greece:** 90.77
56. **Suriname:** 90.29
57. **Ukraine:** 90.07
58. **Moldova:** 89.98
59. **Serbia:** 89.60
60. **Vietnam:** 89.53
61. **Iraq:** 89.28
62. **Uzbekistan:** 89.01
63. **Kazakhstan:** 88.89
64. **Thailand:** 88.87
65. **Armenia:** 88.82
66. **Bosnia and Herzegovina:** 88.54
67. **Costa Rica:** 88.34
68. **Bhutan:** 87.94
69. **Chile:** 87.89
70. **Mexico:** 87.73
71. **Tajikistan:** 87.71
72. **Uruguay:** 87.59
73. **Malaysia:** 87.58
74. **Brunei:** 87.58
75. **Bahamas:** 86.99
76. **Romania:** 86.88
77. **Turkey:** 86.80
78. **Argentina:** 86.63
79. **Sri Lanka:** 86.62
80. **Mauritius:** 86.56
81. **Turkmenistan:** 85.86
82. **Montenegro:** 85.78
83. **Azerbaijan:** 84.81
84. **Georgia:** 84.50
85. **Turks and Caicos Islands:** 84.29
86. **Paraguay:** 84.04
87. **Fiji:** 83.96
88. **Solomon Islands:** 83.96
89. **Samoa:** 83.96
90. **Kiribati:** 83.96
91. **Micronesia:** 83.96
92. **Tonga:** 83.96
93. **Marshall Islands:** 83.96
94. **Cook Islands:** 83.96
95. **Cuba:** 83.90
96. **Bahrain:** 83.60
97. **Brazil:** 83.38
98. **Guyana:** 83.23
99. **Colombia:** 83.13
100. **Venezuela:** 82.99
101. **Cayman Islands:** 82.24
102. **Afghanistan:** 82.12
103. **Haiti:** 82.10
104. **Dominican Rep.:** 82.05
105. **UAE:** 82.05
106. **Puerto Rico:** 81.99
107. **North Macedonia:** 81.91
108. **Albania:** 81.75

109. **Lebanon:** 81.70
110. **Philippines:** 81.64
111. **Peru:** 81.44
112. **Northern Mariana Islands:** 81.36
113. **Laos:** 80.99
114. **Libya:** 80.92
115. **Qatar:** 80.78
116. **Jordan:** 80.70
117. **Maldives:** 80.54
118. **Iran:** 80.01
119. **Pakistan:** 80.00
120. **Grenada:** 79.34
121. **Tunisia:** 79.22
122. **Kyrgyzstan:** 79.09
123. **Panama:** 79.00
124. **Sudan:** 78.87
125. **Chad:** 78.87
126. **Seychelles:** 78.76
127. **Oman:** 78.70
128. **Kuwait:** 78.64
129. **Indonesia:** 78.49
130. **Papua New Guinea:** 78.49
131. **Ecuador:** 78.26
132. **Palestine:** 77.69
133. **Senegal:** 77.37
134. **Comoros:** 77.07
135. **Madagascar:** 76.79
136. **British Virgin Islands:** 76.69
137. **Bolivia:** 76.53
138. **Uganda:** 76.42
139. **Saudi Arabia:** 76.36
140. **Egypt:** 76.32
141. **India:** 76.24
142. **Algeria:** 76.00
143. **Kenya:** 75.20
144. **Angola:** 75.10
145. **Jamaica:** 75.08
146. **Tanzania:** 74.95
147. **Syria:** 74.41
148. **Bangladesh:** 74.33
149. **Zimbabwe:** 74.01
150. **Burkina Faso:** 73.80
151. **Saint Lucia:** 73.68
152. **Mozambique:** 72.50
153. **Burundi:** 72.09
154. **Niger:** 70.82
155. **Antigua and Barbuda:** 70.48
156. **Saint Kitts and Nevis:** 70.48
157. **Rwanda:** 69.95
158. **Benin:** 69.71
159. **Malawi:** 69.70
160. **El Salvador:** 69.63
161. **Botswana:** 69.45
162. **South Africa:** 68.87
163. **Lesotho:** 68.87
164. **Eswatini:** 68.87
165. **Eritrea:** 68.77
166. **Zambia:** 68.43
167. **Ethiopia:** 68.42
168. **Djibouti:** 68.41
169. **Nigeria:** 67.76
170. **Cameroon:** 67.76
171. **Somalia:** 67.67
172. **Morocco:** 67.03
173. **Namibia:** 66.19
174. **Dominica:** 66.03
175. **Sao Tome and Principe:** 65.22
176. **DR Congo:** 64.92
177. **Saint Vincent and the Grenadines:** 63.42
178. **Republic of the Congo:** 62.97
179. **Gabon:** 62.97
180. **Yemen:** 62.86 (ISGP: 85).
181. **Central African Republic:** 62.55
182. **Belize:** 62.55 (ISGP: about 85).
183. **Honduras:** 62.16 (ISGP: 81).
184. **Togo:** 59.83 (ISGP: 81).
185. **Mali:** 59.76 (ISGP: 69).
186. **Mauritania:** 59.76 (ISGP: 76).
187. **South Sudan:** 58.61 (ISGP: 72).
188. **Ghana:** 58.16 (ISGP: 73).
189. **Ivory Coast:** 58.16 (ISGP: 69).
190. **Guinea:** 53.48 (ISGP: 69).
191. **Nicaragua:** 52.69 (ISGP: 69).

192. **Gambia:** 52.68 (ISGP: around 75).
193. **Cape Verde:** 52.50 (ISGP: around 75).
194. **Guatemala:** 47.72 (ISGP: 79, which also seems more in line with how the population dresses and behaves) (Lynn says: 1999, 2003, 2010 studies only, seemingly very rural).
195. **Sierra Leone:** 45.07 (ISGP: 64) *(Lynn says: 1966 study only)*.
196. **Liberia:** 45.07 (ISGP: 67) *(Lynn: based on next-door Sierra Leone)*.
197. **Nepal:** 42.99 (ISGP: 68) *(Lynn says: 1984-85 rural study only)*.

As can be seen with the bottom entries, I quickly started having some questions about sub-60 IQs I noticed. In fact, I only ended up on the site of World Population Review, because since 2025 TikTok suggestions finally, very occasionally involve race IQ statistics. In 2025 these were mixed with Naziism - of course. And in early 2026 TikTok videos - forever ultra-manipulative - were making the case that Nepal had the lowest IQ in the world. I personally calculated IQ 68 in 2015-2016, so looked up the source World Population Review was using, and realized it was Richard Lynn's 2019 global IQ discussion as the sole source.

From here I got lost for some time in the whole International IQ Test ("IIT") scamming on World Population Review that by 2024 had replaced Lynn in the color-map. Once this issue was finished, and I added Lynn's global IQ table here, did I get back to wondering about Nepal's supposed IQ of 46. It made zero sense to me. I don't have all the national IQ listings anymore from at least three different studies in most cases, which I had in 2015. The average of that was color-coded and listed on the map I use at the top of this article. It's there that I calculated Nepal's average of 68. I also remember if one of the average numbers was a major outlier, I didn't include it in the average.

In fact, the lowest national numbers I remember seeing at the time was 59 for Sao Tome et Principe, consisting of two islands in West-Africa. I very much remember them, because in my perverse fascination I was little bummed that not more African countries dropped down into the 50s. The absolute lowest number I read about, from Lynn, at the time, somewhere, was 54 for Kalahari Bushmen, in southern Africa. I remember it so well, because I was as blown away as fascinated by it.

But then, in 2019, three years after my own (this) 'Race and IQ' article made the rounds, seemingly caused some stress for then-Dutch prime minister Mark Rutte, and was smashed down by Google; Lynn produced a new list - and all of a sudden has two African countries with IQ 45, nine African countries in the 50s, and on top of that Central-American countries Guatemala and Nicaragua in the 40s and 50s? To top it off, he has a Far Eastern country, Nepal, at IQ 43, as the absolute lowest IQ

country on planet Earth - a fact or "fact" which subsequenly is picked up by TikTok's usual disinformation circus years later.

I was skeptical from the start. But when checking the IQ numbers on my own map, I have to conclude that Lynn most likely is reporting selective data. This also makes sense, because Lynn has forever been cited as the sole go-to guy whenever race IQ is mentioned in the media. This always surprised me, until I noticed he was head of the notorious Pioneer Fund from 2012 until his death in 2023, and that he followed up his co-author, J. Philippe Rushton, here.

The Pioneer Fund was founded in 1937. One of the founders was Frederick Osborn, who comes from a Pilgrims Society family intermarried with J.P. Morgan, Sr. and also had numerous Rockefeller ties. This includes Frederick setting up the Population Council with John Rockefeller III in 1952. He was family too of Henry Fairfield, who co-authored the 'Passing of the Great Race' book. Similar to the Pioneer Fund, this book helped inspire Hitler and the Nazis in their race theories. While the central premise was right, namely that race IQ is strongly genetic and that it strongly dictates behavior, all the arguments were disinformation, and even genocidal.

I may not have time to start comparing all kind sof old works of Lynn, but in that sense, it might not be so strange that Lynn has been spreading at least a degree of race IQ disinformation. In fact, just starting this research thread I bumped into so much "population control" manipulation eventually leading to the "replacement" of the white race that my mind is blown again. Richard Lynn should fit somewhere in the "conservative CIA" network, but the Pioneer Fund, largely through the Osborns, most obviously is tied in for almost a century with "Rockefeler CIA" elites and operations, as well as "liberal CIA" feminist NGOs as Planned Parenthood. But I realize too... there is just no time to research that deeper for the moment.

So I'll just end this section with a warning: be careful of Richard Lynn. Look up other studies to compare his numbers. Likely PISA converting PISA scores for reading and math are some of the most reliable numbers you can get these days.

Downloadable sources

These sources were used to put the above oversight together.

- 1988, College Board, 'Predictors of Academic Achievement Among Asian American and White Students' (includes SAT data on

Indians/Pakistanis).

- Spring 1991, Richard Lynn in Mankind Quarterly, 'Race differences in intelligence: A global perspective'.
- 2000, IQ scores per U.S. state.
- 2002, 2006 and 2007, Global IQ scores from 193 countries from Richard Lynn, Heiner Rindermann and PISA.
- 2005, Philippe Rushton and Arthur Jensen in the APA's Psychology, Public Policy, and Law journal, 'Thirty Years of Research on Race Differences in Cognitive Ability'.
- 2006, Henry Harpending and Gregory Cochranin of the University of Utah in the Journal of Biosocial Science, 'Natural History of Ashkenazi Intelligence'.
- 2006, Richard Lynn, 'Race Differences in Intelligence'.
- Feb. 1, 2006, Jason Malloy for gnxp.com, 'A World of Difference: Richard Lynn Maps World Intelligence; A review of Richard Lynn's Race Differences in Intelligence: An Evolutionary Analysis'.
- 2009, Jason Richwine, Harvard Ph.D. dissertation, 'IQ and Immigration Policy'.
- 2009, German Central Office for Genealogy, 'National IQ Means Transformed from Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) Scores, and their Underlying Gene Frequencies' (PISA, Rindermann scores).
- 2010, Richard Lynn and Gerard Meisenberg for the journal Intelligence, 'National IQs calculated and validated for 108 nations'.
- 2015, WISC-V manual on Full Scale IQ: Verbal, Visual-Spatial, Fluid Reasoning, Working Memory and Processing Speed.
- Muslim inbreeding and IQ (partial explanation for lower IQ numbers in Middle East).
- Spring/Summer 2004, The Mankind Quarterly, Petr Bakalar, 'The IQ of Gypsies in Central Europe', pp. 291-300.

John Entine

It might also be interesting to list the "opinion" of John Entine here. In 1989 Entine worked with NBC's Tom Brokaw to produce the sports film *Black Athletes: Fact and Fiction*. Before that, in 1972, he co-directed the presidential campaign of the super-liberal Senator George McGovern. Then, from 1975 to 1983, he was a producer for ABC shows as Evening News, 20/20 and Nightline. In 2000 his book *Taboo: Why Black Athletes Dominate Sports And Why We're Afraid To Talk About It* followed and was widely discussed in the media, from the New York Times to The Guardian. In 2002 he joined the neoccon American Enterprise Institute, in 2008 he wrote a book on Jewish intelligence and in 2012 he was the founder and executive director of the Genetic Literacy Project, which is funded by the John Templeton Foundation, the Searle Freedom Trust and the Winkler Family Foundation. In other words, here we have a well-regarded establishment-backed "layman" who has been studying racial physical abilities, diseases and IQ for decades - and in 2009 he was quoted as saying:

> *"Over the past 50 years, Ashkenazi Jews have consistently tested well above the norm in almost every IQ study. Some the findings were startling. Looking at worldwide population groups, Jews appear at the top of the IQ charts, followed by [North] East Asians, Whites, Arabs, other Asians, Indians, Blacks and Australian Aborigines. Studies have set average Ashkenazi [IQ] at anywhere from 107 to 117..."*[59]

Notes

1. November 11, 2009, John Entine to the American Enterprise Institute, 'The High IQ of Abraham's Children; An Interview with Jon Entine'.
2. July 23, 2011, ynetnews.com, 'Ashkenazi Jews rank smartest in world: Studies show descendants of Jews from Medieval Germany, throughout Europe have IQ 20% higher than global average': *"According to a study performed by Cambridge University called, "From Chance to Choice: Genetic and Justice," Ashkenazi Jews have a median IQ of 117. That's 10 points higher than the "accepted" IQ of their biggest competition, Northeast Asia, and 20% higher than the global average."*
3. Dec. 28, 2013, Daily Mail, 'The new ethnic cleansing: This disturbing dispatch from Eastern Europe reveals how racism exploited by politicians is driving thousands of Roma to flee to Britain'.
4. errc.org/who-we-are/our-story (accessed: Sep. 13, 2024): *"After a landmark victory involving a Romani man winning a police brutality case in Bulgaria, activists and human rights lawyers from [Soros'] Open Society Foundation started the ERRC."*

5. opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/why-we-are-setting-european-roma-institute (accessed: Sep. 13, 2024; date published: March 27, 2015 by George Soros & Thorbjørn Jagland).
6. Jun. 8, 2017 Twitter/X-post by @TJagland (Thorbjørn Jagland: sec.-gen. Council of Europe 2009-19; PM Norway 1996-97; foreign affairs minister 2000-2001): *"My good friend George Soros and I are delighted to launch ERIAC in Berlin. A day to celebrate Roma culture in Europe."*
7. opensocietyfoundations.org/newsroom/open-society-commits-100-million-to-new-roma-led-foundation (accessed: Sep. 13, 2024): *"Announcing the €100 million pledge, Alexander Soros... The Open Society Foundations has been the leading private supporter of Europe's Roma—the continent's largest ethnic minority—since the early 1990s, when their cause was first embraced by Open Society's founder, George Soros."*
8. April 15, 2015, The Conversation.com, 'EU initiative risks turning Roma into entertainers, not real people with human rights': *"Soros set up a network of Romani NGOs as well as powerful in-house projects devoted to supporting a Roma voice. In 2005, he initiated a partnership with the governments of ten states in central and eastern Europe under the heading "Decade of Roma Inclusion"."*
9. *) Feb. 2015, Molecular Psychiatry, 'Genetics and intelligence differences: five special findings': *"Intelligence is one of the most heritable behavioural traits. ... The heritability of intelligence increases from about 20% in infancy to perhaps 80% in later adulthood."*

   *) Aug. 21, 2021, Intelligence journal, 'Genetic and environmental contributions to IQ in adoptive and biological families with 30-year-old offspring': *"A long history of twin and family studies has found that between 50 and 80 percent of variance in IQ is associated with genetic factors in industrialized countries, with perhaps 50 percent attributable to additive genetic factors alone (Hunt, 2011; Polderman et al., 2015). However, this imprecision owes in part to the inclusion of individuals over a wide range of ages, and studies of fully-adult individuals have found a heritability of intelligence closer to .80 (Warne, 2020) [i.e., 80%]. ...*

   [In this study] we estimated genetic and environmental effects on adulthood IQ in a unique sample of 486 biological and adoptive families. These families, tested previously on measures of IQ when offspring averaged age 15, were assessed a second time nearly two decades later (M offspring age = 32 years). We estimated the proportions of the variance in IQ attributable to environmentally mediated effects of parental IQs, sibling-specific shared environment, and gene-environment covariance to be .01 [95% CI .00, .02], .04 [95% CI .00, .15], and .03 [95% CI .00, .07] respectively; these components jointly accounted for 8 percent of

the IQ variance in adulthood. The heritability was estimated to be .42 [95% CI .21, .64] [i.e., 42%, with the remaining 50% of influences left unstudied]. Together, these findings provide further evidence for the predominance of genetic influences on adult intelligence over any other systematic source of variation."

10. Example study: 2023, Dutch Ministry of Justice (WODC), 'De effectiviteit van de Top600-aanpak: Een vergelijkend recidiveonderzoek onder veelpleger' ('The effectiveness of the Top600 approach: A comparative recidivism study among repeat offenders'), pp. 8, 44: *"The Top 600... in the Amsterdam-Amstelland region [includes] frequent offenders of so-called high-impact crimes (HIC) in the Netherlands. ... The average IQ was 80 for the Top 600 [most criminal] individuals and 88 for the control group."*
11. October 20, 2014, BlackpressUSA.com (a black news website and the only site I ever encountered that blocks oversees visitors), 'Where are the Black Science Laureates?': *"Blacks have won the Nobel – but mostly for peace and literature. ... But outside of literature and peace, only one black has won the Nobel – William Arthur Lewis, a native of St. Lucia, who won for economics in 1979. ...*

    The irony is that Blacks have a long and distinguished history in math and science. The math, science and engineering exploits of Africans in ancient Egypt [note: DNA proves the pyramids were not built by black people], Ethiopia and Sudan are well known."
12. eduard-rhein-stiftung.de/en/awardee/michael-sohlman/ (accessed: July 24, 2025): *"1992-2011: Executive Director of the Nobel Foundation. ... Member of the Board of the International Crisis Group 1994-2002."*
13. *) April 12, 2012, The Guardian, 'Black mathematicians: the kind of problems they wish didn't need solving': *"John Derbyshire, a columnist for the National Review, wrote an essay last week implying that black people were intellectually inferior to white people: "Only one out of six blacks is smarter than the average white." Derbyshire pulled these figures from a region near his large intestine [**note:** just because scientists can be found who deny the importance of IQ, doesn't mean Derbyshire invented his information].*

    *One of Derbyshire's claims, however, is true: that there are no black winners of the Fields medal, the "Nobel prize of mathematics" [**note:** why silent about the lack of medals in other scientific areas?]. According to Derbyshire, this is "civilisationally consequential". Derbyshire implies that the absence of a black winner means that black people are incapable of genius [**note:** huge exaggaration]. In reality, black mathematicians face career-retarding racism that white Fields medallists never encounter."*

*) December 1, 2014, The Guardian, 'He may have unravelled DNA, but James Watson deserves to be shunned': *"The great scientist James Watson is to auction his Nobel prize medal. He told the Financial Times this week that following accusations of racism in 2007, "no one really wants to admit I exist", and as a result his income had plummeted and he has become an "unperson".*

But its not awful. Watson has said that he is "not a racist in a conventional way". But he told the Sunday Times in 2007 that while people may like to think that all races are born with equal intelligence, those "who have to deal with black employees find this not true". Call me old-fashioned, but that sounds like bog-standard, run-of-the-mill racism to me. ...

Watson is rightly venerated for being half of the pair, along with Francis Crick, who discovered the structure of DNA, and for leading the Human Genome Project. "

14. jinfo.org/Nobel_Prizes.html (accessed: January 6, 2017): *"Jews currently make up approximately 0.2% of the world's population and 2% of the US population. ... At least 197 Jews and people of half- or three-quarters-Jewish ancestry have been awarded the Nobel Prize, [1] accounting for 22% of all individual recipients worldwide between 1901 and 2016, and constituting 36% of all US recipients [2] during the same period. [3] In the scientific research fields of Chemistry, Economics, Physics, and Physiology/Medicine, the corresponding world and US percentages are 26% and 39%, respectively. Among women laureates in the four research fields, the Jewish percentages (world and US) are 33% and 50%, respectively. ... Since the turn of the century (i.e., since the year 2000), Jews have been awarded 25% of all Nobel Prizes and 27% of those in the scientific research fields.*
    - *Chemistry (36 prize winners, 21% of world total, 31% of US total)*
    - *Economics (30 prize winners, 38% of world total, 50% of US total)*
    - *Literature (15 prize winners, 13% of world total, 33% of US total)*
    - *Peace (9 prize winners, 9% of world total, 10% of US total)*
    - *Physics (52 prize winners, 26% of world total, 37% of US total)*
    - *Physiology or Medicine (55 prize winners, 26% of world total, 39% of US total)"*
15. 1916, Madison Grant and Henry Fairfield Osborn, Sr., 'The Passing of the Great Race', Introduction, pp. 71, 152.)
16. Aug. 6, 2008, Journal of Human Genetics, 'Differential Greek and northern African migrations to Sicily are supported by genetic evidence from the Y chromosome': *"The genetic contribution of Greek [who score by far the lowest in IQ scores among traditional western countries] chromosomes to the Sicilian gene pool is*

estimated to be about 37% whereas the contribution of North African populations is estimated to be around 6%."

17. June 12, 2023, Business Insider, 'Meet the typical South Korean millennial: educated, overqualified for the job market, and part of the 'kangaroo tribe' that can't afford to leave their parents' homes'.
18. May 24, 2023, RTLniews.nl, 'Waarom landen meer baby's willen (en daar miljarden voor over hebben)' ('Why countries want babies (and are willing to spend billions on that)').
19. Jan. 4, 2017, Forbes, 'Are The PISA Education Results Rigged?').
20. Ibid.
21. *) May 30, 2013, Reuters, 'Chinese wonder why their tourists behave so badly': *"From faking marriage certificates to get honeymoon discounts in the Maldives to letting children defecate on the floor of a Taiwan airport, Chinese tourists..."*

    *) July 8, 2019, #1321, Joe Rogan Experience, Robert Oberst interview: *"We got done with World Strongest Man [in August 2013 in China]. We do a celebration [at] the island, it's gorgeous, Sanya [on Hainan Island]... It was their vacation island, but it was fucking dirty [with radically communist, nationalist, arrogant police offiers]. Like, the stories you hear about kids shitting in the streets and stuff, it is not everywhere in China. But on that island it was everywhere. Like, the kids just stopped, pulled open their little shorts, take a shit. ... Ari told me he saw that at a mall. [Inaudible] Oh yeah... In the mall walking, just stopped, pulled their pants down, and shit on the floor. [OBERST:] Yep, that's what they do, man. It's crazy. ... I don't know [if anybody cleans it up]. I don't think anybody [does that] when it's outside. It's just everywhere. ... All over the place. And it just smells like it. There were like 30 of us. And like 26 of us all got stomach flu, or like intestinal parasites. They were burning tires for that streetlights. ... At least in [August] 2013 they were. ... It's nasty, man. "*.

    *) June 22, 2016, Global Times (China), 'Viral images of public defecation at theme park draw condemnation'.
22. Dec. 6, 2023, Japan Times, 'Japan's Strategy Bears Fruit in 2022 PISA Results, But Challenges Still Remain in Students' Reading Skills')
23. Dec. 8, 2023, The Mainichi (newspaper), 'Editorial: High performance in OECD test not the goal for education in Japan')
24. Dec. 8, 2016, TheConversation.com, 'Behind Singapore's PISA rankings success – and why other countries may not want to join the race')
25. quora.com/Why-is-no-other-country-able-to-emulate-Pisa-scores-of-Singapore (accessed: April 2, 2024; "Ryuu Shun Hayashi. Lives in Singapore"): *"The Singaporean 'test topper' is trained to instead ignore the question first, look at the number of marks assigned for it, infer the number of marking points necessary for those marks to be*

awarded, and then craft an answer for the question based on the number of marking points that need to be referenced. Over time, this kind of experience makes us capable of determining the number of marking points in a question even when no mark quantity is specifically mentioned. ...

Not all [Singaporean] schools or tuition agencies teach this method. The ones which churn out nonstop A students tend to. [As a result] at university level, the inability to differentiate students by ability (as too many score very high within a very narrow range near 90% [due to gaming the tests]) has led to faculty staff instead creating tests that differentiate students by writing speed (we hear rumours that faculty staff have to explain themselves to faculty admin if they assess students with a test that fails to differentiate their grades)...")

26. 2004, volume 33, issue 1, Roshni R. Patel (University of London), Philip Steer (Imperial College Faculty of Medicine), Pat Doyle (University of London), Mark P. Little (Imperial College Faculty of Medicine) and Paul Elliott (Imperial College Faculty of Medicine), International Journal of Epidemiology, 'Does gestation vary by ethnic group? A London-based study of over 122 000 pregnancies with spontaneous onset of labour', pp. 107-113: *"**Background:** Evidence exists that normal gestational length varies with ethnicity. This UK-based study compares gestational length amongst a cohort of white European, Black and Asian women.*

***Methods:** The cohort comprised 122,415 nulliparous women with singleton live fetuses at the time of spontaneous labour, giving birth in the former North West Thames Health Region, London, UK.*

***Results:** The median gestational age at delivery was 39 weeks in Blacks and Asians and 40 weeks in white Europeans. Black women with normal body mass index (BMI) (18.5–24.9 kg/m2) had increased odds of preterm delivery (odds ratio [OR] = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.15, 1.56, adjusted for deprivation and BMI) compared with white Europeans. The OR of preterm delivery was also increased in Asians compared with white Europeans (OR = 1.45, 95% CI: 1.33, 1.56, adjusted for single unsupported status and smoking). Meconium stained amniotic fluid, which is a sign of fetal maturity, was statistically significantly more frequent in preterm Black and Asian infants and term Black infants compared with white European infants.*

***Conclusions:** This research suggests that normal gestational length is shorter in Black and Asian women compared with white European women and that fetal maturation may occur earlier."*

27. Spring/Summer 2004, The Mankind Quarterly, 'The IQ of Gypsies in Central Europe', pp. 291-300 (PDF).
28. Ibid.
29. Dec. 28, 2013, Daily Mail, 'The new ethnic cleansing: This disturbing dispatch from Eastern Europe reveals how racism exploited by

politicians is driving thousands of Roma to flee to Britain'.
30. Issue 12, 1989, Personality and Individual Differences journal, pp. 1323-1325, Marcel Frydman (Université de l'État à Mons, 17 Place Warocqué, Belgium) and Richard Lynn (Department of Psychology, University of Ulster, Northern Ireland), 'The intelligence of Korean children adopted in Belgium'.
31. 1998, Dr. Arthur Jensen, 'The G Factor: The Science of Mental Ability', p. 477.
32. ivywise.com/blog/good-sat-score/ (accessed: Jan. 22, 2024.
33. iqcomparisonsite.com/satiq.aspx (accessed: Jan. 22, 2024.
34. Feb. 2022, Volkmar Weiss at Research Gate, 'How it was gradually discovered that PISA tests and IQ tests give almost identical results'.
35. oecd.org/publication/pisa-2022-results/ (accessed: Feb. 29, 2024; shows basic graphs from 2003 to 2022).
36. 2023, OECD, 'PISA 2022 Results (Volume I): The State of Learning and Equity in Education', p. 185.
37. 2007, OECD, 'PISA 2006: Volume 1: Analysis', p. 301.
38. Sep. 2006, Kiira Kärkkäinen for the OECD, 'Emergence of Private Higher Education Funding Within the OECD Area', p. 9.
39. 2007, OECD, 'PISA 2006: Volume 1: Analysis', p. 319.
40. April 13, 2022, RTL Nieuws, 'Lezen, schrijven en rekenen hollen achteruit: 'Leraren missen vaardigheden'.
41. Ibid.
42. Dec. 5, 2023, Algemeen Dagblad, 'One in three 15-year-olds is unable to understand what is written in a text'.
43. worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/average-iq-by-country (accessed: Feb. 8, 2026).
44. Jan. 5, 2025, Tehran Times, 'Iran ranks 2nd in Intl. IQ TEST 2024': *"According to the International IQ Test, updated on January 1, Iran ranks second worldwide, with an impressive average Intelligence Quotient (IQ) of 106.63."*
45. international-iq-test.com (accessed: Feb. 8, 2026): *"How reliable is this IQ test? The International IQ Test's global database—containing over 16 million results—has made it possible to develop an IQ-scoring model based on large-scale data. A standardization report, available here, used an effective sample of 66,032 results collected over three consecutive years (2020, 2021, and 2022). It applied anti-bot and duplicate filtering and calibrated results to the global population. The report confirmed a worldwide mean IQ of 100 with a standard deviation of 15."*
46. international-iq-test.com/ en/test/gFactor (accessed: Feb. 8, 2026).
47. See two notes above.
48. See two notes above.
49. Nov. 2021, Mo Ibrahim Foundation, Ibrahim Index of African Governance (IIAG) report, 'Insights from the IIAG - COVID-19 in

Africa: A challenging road to recovery', pp. 53: *"89% of learners in sub-Saharan Africa do not have access to household computers, 82% lack internet access..."*

50. Aug. 25, 2025, afrobarometer.org, 'PP95: Africa's digital divide is closing, but participation in the digital(ised) economy remains highly uneven': *"Afrobarometer Round 9 surveys conducted between late 2021 and mid-2023 in 39 countries show a substantial digital divide in Africa. ... The data show that smartphones continue to be Africans' primary means of accessing the Internet, as access to personal computers is still limited across the continent. Four in 10 citizens report never using the Internet, with much higher rates of non-use among less educated and poorer citizens."*
51. Ibid.
52. Jan. 15, 2026, The Beiruter, 'The Lebanese ranked highest in IQ in the Arab world'.
53. Dec. 12, 2025, Morning Telegraph, 'Sri Lanka Tops South Asia in IQ Rankings'.
54. Oct. 3, 2025, Time Out magazine, 'Australia has one of the highest IQs in the entire world – but where is even smarter?'.
55. Jan. 5, 2025, Tehran Times, 'Iran ranks 2nd in Intl. IQ TEST 2024': *"According to the International IQ Test, updated on January 1, Iran ranks second worldwide, with an impressive average Intelligence Quotient (IQ) of 106.63. ... The achievement showcases the high intellectual capacity of Iranian people. Factors such as culture, emphasis on basic sciences, and the role of the family in nurturing talents are among the main reasons for this achievement. ... Out of the top ten countries, six are from Asia, which shows the superiority of the region in terms of human development."*
56. facebook.com/IslamicRepublic.IR/posts/the-international-iq-test-has-placed-iran-in-second-place-in-its-2025-ranking-wi/1007576878066804/ (accessed: Feb. 8, 2026).
57. 2022, vol. 6, Iranian Journal of Culture and Health Promotion, issue 1, pp. 141–148, 'Intelligence Quotient of Iranian': *"**Methods:** We searched all relevant articles published from 1950 to 2021 in databases.*

    Results: *"According to a 2021 study at Ulster Institute [a Richard Lynn study], the highest average IQ scores in the world belong to the Japanese, with the citizens of Taiwan and Singapore close behind. The average IQ of Iranian population is 84 and the ranking of Iran is 119 among 199 countries in the world. The ranking of Iran has been reported from 23 to 110 in various websites. A meta-analysis of 52 studies conducted in Iran in 2019, reported that the mean Iranian IQ is 97.12, being 97.73 in ages less than 20 years old, and 105.61 in the population older than 20 years old. The IQ of Iranian school children was 89 before iodine supplementation in 1989 which reached 97, ten years after iodine supplementation."*

58. mediabiasfactcheck.com/world-population-review/: *"They sometimes utilize controversial sources such as data from Richard Lynn, who calculated IQ by country. His study has been accused of being racially biased."*
59. November 11, 2009, John Entine to the American Enterprise Institute, 'The High IQ of Abraham's Children; An Interview with Jon Entine'.